1	FRANCISCO J. SILVA (SBN 214773)	
2	e-mail: fsilva@cmanet.org	
3	LONG X. DO (SBN 211439) e-mail: ldo@cmanet.org	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
	LISA MATSUBARA (SBN 264062)	Superior Court of California,
4	e-mail: lmatsubara@cmanet.org	County of San Francisco 04/27/2016
5	CENTER FOR LEGAL AFFAIRS CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION	Clerk of the Court BY:ROMY RISK
6	1201 J Street, Suite 200	Deputy Clerk
7	Sacramento, California 95814-2906	
8	Telephone: (916) 444-5532 Facsimile: (916) 551-2027	
9	Attorneys for Proposed Intervenor CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION	
10	OTEM OTHER PROPERTY.	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
12	COUNTY OF SA	AN FRANCISCO
13		
14	REBECCA CHAMORRO and	Case No. 15-549626
	PHYSICIANS FOR REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH,	DECLARATION OF SAMUEL VAN
15	nealth,	KIRK, M.D. IN SUPPORT OF
16	Plaintiffs,	CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION'S MOTION FOR
17	v.	LEAVE TO FILE COMPLAINT IN
18	DIGNITY HEALTH; DIGNITY HEALTH	INTERVENTION
19	d/b/a MERCY MEDICAL CENTER	Date: May 25, 2016
	REDDING,	Time: 9:30 am
20	Defendants.	Dep't: 302
21		Judge: Hon. Harold Kahn
22		Hearing Reservation no. 04260525-06
23		
24		
25		
:		
26		
27		
28		

VAN KIRK DECLARATION

I, Samuel Van Kirk, MD, declare:

- 1. I submit this declaration in support of the California Medical Association's ("CMA") motion for leave to file a complaint in intervention in the above-captioned case. Unless otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon to testify, could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I am a physician and board-certified in obstetrics and gynecology. I hold undergraduate and graduate degrees in mechanical engineering from the University of California Santa Barbara and Stanford University, respectively. I received an MD degree from the University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine and thereafter did residency training in obstetrics and gynecology at the Oregon Health & Science University Hospital. I have been practicing medicine as a board-certified obstetrician-gynecologist for 14 years.
- I am a current CMA member and have been a member continuously since
- 4. I have a private OB/GYN practice in Redding, California. I hold privileges at Mercy Medical Center Redding ("Mercy Hospital"), a hospital owned and operated by Dignity Health. I also am an active member of the medical staff at Mercy Hospital, where I currently hold a leadership position on the medical executive committee as Surgical Division Chief-Elect.
- 5. I am Rebecca Chamorro's ("Chamorro") obstetrician-gynecologist and treated her during the pregnancy of her third child, which was delivered at Mercy Hospital by Cesarean section ("C-section") on January 20, 2016. During her pregnancy, Chamorro informed me that she and her husband do not desire to have more children. I provided her with information regarding all of her birth control options, including the option of immediate postpartum tubal ligation. After considering all of her options, Chamorro gave her informed consent to undergo tubal ligation at the time of her scheduled C-section at Mercy Hospital. It is my opinion as Chamorro's doctor that tubal ligation at the time of her C-section was medically indicated and in her best interest. In light of Chamorro's

medical history, risk factors, and personal desire, I recommended this course of action and fully supported her decision to undergo this sterilization procedure.

- 6. Despite my recommendation and Chamorro's desire to have a tubal ligation, Mercy Hospital denied my written request for authorization to perform the procedure during Chamorro's scheduled C-section.
- 7. I have practiced at Mercy Hospital for 11 years and am very familiar with the hospital's sterilization policy and enforcement of the Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care ("ERDs"). In addition to Chamorro, I have had over 50 patients in the last 8 years who have been denied a tubal ligation at Mercy Hospital pursuant to its sterilization policy and the ERDs.
- 8. My understanding is that Mercy Hospital's sterilization policy is the same as the ERDs: (1) direct sterilization is banned, but (2) procedures that induce sterilization may be permitted if their direct effect is the cure or alleviation of a present and serious pathology and a simpler treatment is not available. Under these policies, tubal ligations should never be permitted because they are not used to cure or alleviate present or serious pathologies. Tubal ligations are only performed to prevent future pregnancy.
- 9. Despite the ostensible ban on sterilization under Mercy Hospital's policies, I have been permitted to perform some postpartum tubal ligations at Mercy Hospital. It has been my understanding that in order to perform a tubal ligation at the time of a C-section, Dignity Health requires the doctor to: (1) receive permission from the hospital's review committee prior to the time of delivery, and (2) confirm at the time of the C-section that there is "pathologically thin uterine scarring." I developed this understanding based on conversations with medical personnel at Mercy Hospital, in particular Dr. James De Soto, who is a member of the hospital review committee that determines whether to grant or deny authorizations to perform postpartum tubal ligations. Dr. De Soto is a hospital administrator employed by Dignity Health. He is retired from the practice of medicine and does not hold privileges at Mercy Hospital.
 - 10. I believe that Mercy Hospital's review committee that decides requests for

tubal ligations at Mercy Hospital includes Dr. De Soto and other non-physician individuals from the Catholic Church. I formed this belief from correspondence I have received from the review committee.

- primarily based upon evidence-based, sound medical judgment. Rather, the review committee is ostensibly charged with enforcing the ERDs and Mercy Hospital's sterilization policy, which carries out the ERDs. Such criteria is non-medical and, in my experience, often runs counter to the sound medical judgment of physicians. Based on my experience, in deciding whether to grant or deny authorization for a tubal ligation, the review committee does not regularly consider a patient's medical history, medical records, or other medical considerations.
- 12. As a member of the medical executive committee of the medical staff at Mercy Hospital, I am aware of or witnessed the interaction between the medical staff and hospital administrators concerning the hospital's sterilization policy or ERDs. The review committee does not involve the medical staff or any of its representatives in a decision in a particular case whether to allow a tubal ligation. Nor did the medical staff have any meaningful input or involvement in the development of Mercy Hospital's sterilization policy.
- 13. The denial of a request for a tubal ligation has many consequences on my patients and me. Chamorro and other patients like her may be required to undergo a tubal ligation at a different facility, sometimes at higher cost depending on the patient's available health care coverage. Patients denied a tubal ligation at Mercy Hospital but who wish to find another facility where her obstetrician resides are exposed to additional risks of another medical procedure involving anesthesia. They also may settle for a different type of contraception that could be less effective. Patients who are denied tubal ligations